

KARINEH KHACHATOURIAN (SBN 202634)
karineh.khachatourian@klgates.com
BRYAN J. SINCLAIR (SBN 205885)
bryan.sinclair@klgates.com
MICHAEL R. HAVEN (SBN 208721)
mike.haven@klgates.com
K&L GATES LLP
630 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 798-6700
Facsimile: (650) 798-6701

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,
PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD., a
Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DEVICEVM, INC., a Delaware corporation,
and BENEDICT CHONG, an individual,

Defendants.

DEVICEVM, Inc., a Delaware corporation,

Counterclaimant,

v.

PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD., a Delaware
corporation,

Counterclaim-defendant.

Case No.: 4:09-CV-04697-CW (EDL)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING LEAVE TO FILE SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Judge: Honorable Claudia Wilken
Room: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor

Complaint Filed: July 17, 2009
Trial Date: TBD

1 WHEREAS, on August 31, 2009, plaintiff Phoenix Technologies Ltd. ("Plaintiff") filed the
 2 First Amended Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of
 3 Santa Clara;

4 WHEREAS, on October 1, 2009, defendants DeviceVM, Inc. and Benedict Chong
 5 ("Defendants") timely removed the case to this Court pursuant to its federal question jurisdiction
 6 under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a);

7 WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, Defendants filed their Answers and Affirmative
 8 Defenses to the First Amended Complaint, and on January 8, 2010, Defendant DeviceVM filed
 9 Amended Counterclaims against Plaintiff;

10 WHEREAS, on February 4, 2010, Plaintiff filed a separate action in the United States District
 11 Court for the Northern District of California against Defendant DeviceVM, Inc. for the alleged
 12 infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,659 styled *Phoenix Technologies, LTD v. DeviceVM, Inc.* Case
 13 No. 3:10-cv-00514-VRW (the "Phoenix Patent Infringement Case");

14 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and have reached an agreement that will
 15 result in: (a) Phoenix being granted leave to further amend the First Amended Complaint in this case
 16 by filing a Second Amended Complaint which asserts one additional count for infringement of U.S.
 17 Patent No. 6,519,659; and (b) concurrent with the filing of the Second Amended Complaint in this
 18 case, Phoenix will file a Notice of Dismissal of Complaint Without Prejudice in the Phoenix Patent
 19 Infringement Case;

20 NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned parties hereby stipulate and agree, and respectfully
 21 request that the Court enter an order, as follows:

22 1. Phoenix is granted leave to file a Second Amended Complaint asserting one additional
 23 claim for patent infringement within five (5) days of entry of this Order;

24 2. Defendants responsive pleading shall be due on or before March 19, 2010.

25 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

26 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

27 By: /s/ Stephen J. Akerley

Stephen J. Akerley

28 Dated: March 1, 2010

Attorneys for Defendants / Counterclaimants,
 DeviceVM, Inc. and Benedict Chong

K&L GATES LLP

By: /s/ Bryan J. Sinclair

Dated: March 1, 2010

Bryan J. Sinclair

Attorneys for Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant,
Phoenix Technologies, Ltd.

I, Bryan J. Sinclair, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Scheduling Matters. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Bryan J. Sinclair has concurred in this filing.

By: /s/ Bryan J. Sinclair

Bryan J. Sinclair

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 22, 2010



THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE